Mesh Adaptation for SU2 with the INRIA AMG Library

1 st Annual SU2 Developers Meeting TU Delft, The Netherlands September 5, 2016

Juan J. Alonso, Thomas D. Economon, Victorien Menier Department of Aeronautics & Astronautics Stanford University





Why is SU2 based on unstructured grids? SU2

- The choice of an underlying unstructured topology in SU2 was carefully decided on for several reasons:
 - Ability to quickly mesh complex (entire aircraft) configurations ...and...
 - Ability to perform **solution-based mesh adaptation**
- In the early releases of SU2, a rudimentary mesh adaptation code (SU2_MAC) was included and exercised in some simple situations
- SU2_MAC was rolled into SU2_MSH (in current github repository)
- But we have not pushed the boundaries in earnest
- Time to start doing something about it!



Mesh adaptation approaches



 Traditionally based on local gradients of quantities of interest: pressure, density, or locally flow-aligned gradients such as:

$$\epsilon = \frac{\mathbf{V}}{c} \cdot \frac{\nabla p}{|\nabla p|} \Delta x$$

- Functional-based solution adaption is based on the theory of numerical error estimation and is based on adjoint formulations (available in SU2!)
- Isotropic vs. non-isotropic methods
- Inviscid vs RANS

Mesh adaptation







Baseline Grid

Adapted Grid

- Adapt meshes were only where required
- Adaption indicator must be constructed carefully

Choi, Alonso, van der Weide, "Numerical and Mesh Resolution Requirements for Accurate Sonic Boom Prediction" AIAA Journal of Aircraft, vol. 46, 2009

Some previous efforts



- Some efforts were pursued to ensure that, during UQ simulations, the mesh only had to be adapted once
- The adaption estimator ensured that the change in numerical error as minimized



Recent Efforts



- Recently we have been collaborating with INRIA (Gamma group, Adrien Loseille) to leverage their AMG adaptation library
- Pursued 2 separate geometry tools for point projection:
 - GE Lite library from Pointwise
 - EGADS (Engineering Geometry Aircraft Design System) from Haimes / Dannenhoffer
- Functional prototype in place being improved over the next 6 months
- Final objective is to be able to do shape optimization with mesh adaptation in the loop

SU2/AMG mesh adaptation process



Mesh adaptation : a non-linear problem where both the mesh and the solution are converged to an optimal state.

Mesh sizes are progressively increased at each iteration.

Example: 3D supersonic wedge:





Required tools:

- **SU2_CFD:** performs the flow simulation
- **AMG (INRIA) :** computes a metric from the solution, remeshes, and interpolates the solution onto the new adapted mesh
- **mesh_adaptation.py:** automates the entire mesh adaptation process by executing SU2 and AMG according to a simple configuration file

AMG/SU2 interface

Command line:

\$ python mesh_adaptation.py -f config.cfg

Specific parameters to be added to the configuration file:

- Mesh sizes: what approximate numbers of vertices are wanted at each iteration? How many subiterations for each mesh size?
- Metric computation parameters: which sensor? What error estimate?
- Surface re-projection: use a CAD (GELite or EGADS?) representation of the geometry? Or a fine background mesh?
- Remesher: minimal/maximal edge sizes, gradation etc.

config.cfg

% ------ MESH ADAPTATION PARAMETERS ------

% Desired mesh sizes (appr. number of vertices) ADAP_mesh_sizes= (1e6, 2e6)

% Number of sub-iterations for each mesh size ADAP_SUBITE= (3, 3)

% Use an initial solution? (YES or NO) ADAP_RESTART= NO % Name of the initial mesh ADAP_INI_MESH_FILE= m6_wing.meshb % (if YES) name of the initial SU2 restart solution ADAP_INI_RESTART_FILE= m6_wing_restart.solb % (if YES) name of the initial sensor solution ADAP_INI_SENSOR_FILE= m6_wing_mach.solb

% Surface reprojection (CAD/BACK_MESH/NONE) ADAP_PROJ_METHOD= CAD % If not NONE, specify the name of the cad model/back mesh file ADAP_BACK_NAME= m6_wing_cad.iges

% Maximal edge sized allowed through the mesh adaptation ADAP_HMAX= 70 % Minimal edge size ADAP_HMIN= 0.00001 % Required mesh gradation ADAP_HGRAD= 1.3

Adaptive remesher: AMG (Inria)



Capabilities:

- Anisotropic remeshing through local modifications of the mesh [Loseille and Menier, IMR 2013]
- Metric computation
- Metric field correction using anisotropic gradation
- o Solution interpolation
- Parallel anisotropic mesh adaptation: 1 billion tetrahedra in less than 20 minutes on 120 cores. [Loseille, Menier, Alauzet, IMR 2015]

Nozzle Aero-Thermal-Structural Design Inspired by the X-47B aircraft





 Uncertainties in all areas of multiphysics problem

IHS Jane's Unmanned Aerial Vehicles and Targets, 2015 Ferguson et al, Virginia Tech X-47 A/B student presentation, 2015 bottom image: Northrop Grumman X-47B UCAS Data-sheet, 2015

DARPA EQUIPS Nozzle Problem



Baseline mesh and solution



Adapted mesh and solution







ONERA M6 wing

Baseline mesh









Surface drag and lift sensitivities using the adjoint method

Magnitude of surface sensitivity represents changes in cost function caused by changes in geometry.



Drag sensitivity (Mach 1.6, AoA 2.3deg)

Lift sensitivity (Mach 1.6, AoA 2.3deg)

Designers can use this sensitivity information to determine appropriate parameterizations of the configuration prior to optimization.

Sonic boom prediction





The problem complexity is decreased by 3 orders of magnitude just by modifying the discretization.

On-going: mesh adaptive shape optimization

Optimal shape algorithm coupled with mesh adaptation:

- The quantities of interest are computed on adapted meshes.
- $\circ~$ A mesh adaptation loop is embedded inside each optimizer iteration.
- Preliminary work : optimal shape of a CRM wing



- Comparison of surface projection methods (EGADS, GELite).
- Feature-based and goal-oriented mesh adaptation.
- On-going: Design Under Uncertainty of a Nozzle geometry







https://su2.stanford.edu

https://github.com/su2code



″UDelft





UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Stanford ENGINEERING



Aeronautics & Astronautics

FED





Imperial College London







